



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,061	01/16/2002	Nathan K. Olivas	499962000700	1473

20872 7590 04/20/2004

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 MARKET STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482

EXAMINER
----------

KRUSE, DAVID H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1638

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT
----------

PAPER
-------

04192004

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The timely submission under 37 CFR § 1.121 filed on 18 July 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because the amendments to paragraphs [0005] and [0006] does not comply with 37 CFR § 1.121(b)(i) and should have indicated amendment to paragraphs [0006] and [0007] respectively. Since the submission appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.136(a).

The Examiner in an interview on 12 April 2004 with Applicant's representative, Michael R. Ward, the examiner informed Applicant of the error, and of suggested changes to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner received a supplemental amendment by fax on 12 April 2004 and a correction on 13 April 2004, which was sent for scanning. This notice of non-compliance has been sent because the Office's time to respond to Applicant's response has expired. The Examiner will proceed with the allowance when the supplemental amendment has been scanned and entered. Applicant is advised to timely respond to the notice of non-compliance and the attached interview summary.

David Kruse  
Examiner, AU 1638  
19 April 2004